NEWS

Dr. Simone Gold says her 'spirits are high' as she endures solitary confinement for entering Capitol

'I've noticed activity in the prison that makes me fearful for my own safety,' said the foundress of America's Frontline Doctors.



YouTube/Screenshot

Patrick Delaney

Mon Aug 15, 2022 - 4:41 pm EDT



Listen to this article

0:00 / 6:33

Author's Note: Due to her incarceration, Dr. Gold is unable to attend LifeSiteNews' 25th Anniversary Gala on Wednesday when she was slated to deliver a speech.

(<u>LifeSiteNews</u>) — Dr. Simone Gold, medical freedom champion and foundress of the America's Frontline Doctors (AFLDS), issued a short statement from federal prison where she is serving a 60-day jail term for a misdemeanor count of entering the "restricted" grounds of the Capitol building on January 6, 2021.

"I remain in this Miami prison, serving time for a bogus trespassing charge," began the former emergency room doctor in an email statement to supporters.

Having her computer time limited to 15-minute sessions, Gold first expressed her gratitude for the countless letters of support she has received and for the prayers offered on her behalf by so many.

"Even the prison staff noted the volume of supportive mail. I am both humbled and strengthened. Thank you!" she wrote.

Gold went on to describe how the prison has used COVID-19 as an excuse to impose solitary confinement on all females entering the prison.

"All incoming female inmates are put into a punishment isolation cell under the guise of a 'quarantine,'" she said. "They told me it wasn't for punishment, but it was certainly a punishing and inhumane experience."

"Another form of arbitrary punishment is moving me, along with other inmates, to new cells with no notice. A guard simply yells my name, and I'm expected to move at any moment. My staff knows that if I stop emailing or calling, it's because they have moved me or possibly put me back into isolation," Gold wrote.

The highly accomplished graduate of both Chicago Medical School and Stanford University Law School continued, stating, "I've noticed activity in the prison that makes me fearful for my own safety." On this point, she did not elaborate further, but assured her readers, "My spirits are high. I am more determined than ever to keep fighting for you and medical freedom."

In addition to the 60-day prison sentence she received in June, Gold also faces 12 months of supervised release and \$9,500 in fines, which CNN <u>noted</u> at the time is "the largest fine imposed to date among the almost 200 rioters who have been sentenced."

Not aware that she was in a restricted area, like many January 6th defendants, Gold had peacefully entered the Capitol on January 6, where "she gave a speech at Statuary Hall on her opposition to COVID-19 vaccine mandates and government-imposed lockdowns," <u>according to MedPage</u>.

Gold's sentence is unusually severe for her misdemeanor charge. <u>Most</u> of those who merely entered the Capitol on January 6 without any disorderly conduct, who have already been charged, were sentenced with probation without any jail term.

AFLDS has noted, in fact, that in stark contrast to Gold, all but one of 210 protesters charged with <u>felony rioting</u> during the 2017 inauguration either had their charges dropped or were merely placed on probation, and the government had even <u>paid</u> the defendants \$1.6 million for having detained them.

From the beginning, Gold was singled out by the FBI among the thousands who entered the Capitol on January 6, despite her totally peaceful conduct and her eventual misdemeanor charge. FBI agents <u>broke down her door</u> in a SWAT team raid on <u>January 18</u>, and she was informed the next morning by a judge that she had been charged with trespassing.

On June 16, Gold was sentenced by Obama-appointed judge Christopher Cooper, who also happened to have current Attorney General <u>Merrick Garland officiate</u> his wedding in 1999. Furthermore, AFLDS reported on June 28 that Cooper had his romantic advances <u>rebuffed</u> by Gold during their time in law school, which according to the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, would require him to recuse himself from the case.

Dr. Gold originally came into national prominence leading a July 2020 "White Coat Summit" press conference on the steps of the U.S. Supreme Court with around a dozen physician colleagues where she blasted the authoritarianism of the COVID-19 response. Amid widespread suppression of information challenging the government narrative, the video elicited millions of hits on its first day, resulting in its aggressive suppression from Big Tech corporations.

Since then, tens of thousands of medical professionals have joined their voices to hers. In October 2020, Drs. Martin Kulldorff of Harvard University, Sunetra Gupta of Oxford University, and Jay Bhattacharya of Stanford University released the <u>Great Barrington</u> <u>Declaration</u>, which demonstrated the "grave injustice" of government lockdowns. Since that time, this document has been <u>endorsed</u> by more than 63,000 medical practitioners and related health scientists.

In attempting to counter the "the disastrous COVID-19 public health policies" that resulted from corrupt government officials and medical authorities, a group of over 17,000 physicians and medical scientists formed the Global Covid Summit (GCS). Led by <u>Dr. Robert Malone</u>, the GCS issued a <u>declaration</u> in May asserting "the [COVID] state of medical emergency must be lifted, scientific integrity restored, and crimes against humanity addressed."

Dr. Gold's letter concludes providing supporters with an address to send her a letter. "I'd love to hear from you!," she writes. "Please include your email address so I can respond."

Simone Gold Registration number 26132509 FDC Miami Federal Detention Center PO Box 019120 Miami, FL 33101

RELATED:

Dr. Simone Gold is a political prisoner for daring to oppose COVID mandates

<u>Analysis of Jan. 6 – Seemingly engineered by Deep State to destroy Trump and entire conservative movement</u>

Frontline Doctor: FBI 'broke down my door' in swat team raid of 20 men

Naomi Wolf: We are at the final stage before tyrannical leaders 'crush democracy'

Frontline Doctors: Experimental vaccines are 'not safer' than COVID-19

FBI likely played role in organizing Jan. 6 riot, says report entered into Congressional record

<u>Pro-Trump Jan. 6 'political prisoners' rotting away in dedicated DC prison, without bail or trial</u>

<u>Marjorie Taylor Greene excoriates Dems for seeking Jan. 6 Commission while ignoring BLM riots</u>

TOPICS

NEWS

Frontline Doctor: FBI 'broke down my door' in swat team raid of 20 men

Dr. Simone Gold related the nature of her arrest, how the distribution of experimental vaccines violates the Nuremburg Code, and why COVID-19 censorship is a 'crime against humanity.'



shutterstock

Patrick Delaney

Tue Feb 23, 2021 - 9:43 am EST

LifeSiteNews has been permanently banned on YouTube. Click <u>HERE</u> to sign up to receive emails when we add to our video library.

LOS ANGELES, California, February 23, 2021 (<u>LifeSiteNews</u>) — In a recent <u>interview</u> with Michelle Malkin, Dr. Simone Gold, founder of <u>American Frontline Doctors</u> (AFLD) discussed the lack of authentic informed consent with regards to experimental vaccines, censorship as a "crime against humanity," and how she was subjected to a massive swat team raid upon her home by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) in order to arrest her for being present in the U.S. Capitol Building on January 6th.

In describing this incident, Gold said, "I was paid a visit by the FBI in a Roger Stone kind of take down moment, which is quite uncalled for. You know, if anybody wanted to get a hold of me, they could have picked up the phone and called. I'm very easy to find. But there were literally twenty guys with guns blazing, [and they] broke down my door," Gold said.

"It was dramatic and what I want to say is that I weep for our country. If you can pull in a person like me ... [and] have the FBI break down your door with 20 guns, shackle you [in] handcuffs [and] drag you off, I mean it was really terrible ... I'm telling you America, this can happen to you."

Dr. Gold's reference was to <u>former</u> President Donald Trump's ally Roger Stone, who as an unarmed 66-year-old, without a passport, under investigation for lying to Congress, was arrested in a 2019 <u>predawn swat team raid</u> at his home, with a CNN photographer conveniently present to obtain footage.

One GOP congressman at the time, <u>called</u> this an obvious "disproportionate show of force" and a "political act" meant to "terrify" Trump allies.

Similarly, in 2016 officials from the office of then California attorney general Kamala Harris <u>raided</u> the home of pro-life activist and journalist David Daleiden, who had captured undercover video evidence of Planned Parenthood's harvesting and sale of aborted babies' organs and tissue.

Daleiden's attorney at the time, Matt Heffron, called this action "outrageously out of proportion for the type of crime alleged ... a discredit to law enforcement, [and] an oppressive abuse of government power."

Gold, appearing still dumbfounded by the magnitude of the incident, said "I'm very mainstream, I'm an American, I'm a mom, I'm a doctor and a lawyer for God's sake. I've spent my entire career helping people. I've worked in the inner city [with] impoverished people, really all of my career with black and brown people. I also don't say that too often because I'm not looking for any accolades, I'm just saying, *that's literally who I am*. And I got fired from my job because I was giving people medicine that they needed."

Gold, who has been on the forefront of challenging the government and media <u>narrative</u> regarding the actual dangers of COVID-19, its <u>effective treatment</u>, <u>masks</u>, lockdowns, and the current <u>distribution</u> of <u>experimental vaccines</u>, indicated—in what appears to be a modest understatement—that the excessive force inflicted upon her by the federal government's principal law enforcement agency, "does feel a little bit like a persecution."

'Reclaim the language. These are experimental vaccines.'

In addressing many of these other critical topics in her interview, Gold began by emphasizing the importance of recognizing new COVID-19 vaccines as being experimental.

"First of all, it's so important that we reclaim the language. These are not vaccines. These are experimental vaccines," she said. "This is exactly their status. They are investigational agents only" which will not be authorized as full vaccines until at least 2022.

"This is critical because I don't understand how physicians in good conscience are rushing head long into recommending an experimental anything for anybody," Gold said.

Those who do receive the vaccine enroll themselves in a medical trial, which, "almost nobody chooses to do" under normal circumstances when they are provided with this necessary information. And given the clear, official "experimental" status of these injections, such a substance "cannot be mandated," nor "given willy-nilly to millions or tens of millions of people while it's still in an experimental trial," she said.

Due to fertility risks, 'It's lunacy' for young females to receive experimental vaccine

Furthermore, safety concerns with regards to these experimental agents remain significant.

Malkin asked Gold about a December <u>petition</u> advanced by Dr. Michael Yeadon, who <u>previously held</u> Pfizer's most senior research position in the field of allergy and respiratory medicines prior to his departure in 2011, to the European Medicines Agency (EMA), demanding the immediate suspension of all COVID-19 vaccine studies in Europe, due to four serious health risks.

One of the risks listed in the petition are the real dangers to women's fertility. As Yeadon explained, these experimental vaccines are expected to produce anti-bodies to attack "spike proteins" such as COVID-19. However, "spike proteins also contain syncytin-homologous proteins, which are essential for the formation of the placenta in mammals such as humans." Therefore, Yeadon and his colleagues demand that "[it] must be absolutely ruled out" that a coronavirus vaccine would not trigger an immune reaction against this protein, "as otherwise infertility of indefinite duration could result in vaccinated women."

<u>Government guidance</u> in the U.K. itself urges various groups, including pregnant mothers, not to take the Pfizer experimental COVID vaccine, as well as stating that its impact on fertility is unknown. The World Health Organization <u>issued</u> a similar warning with regards to the Moderna experimental vaccine.

Gold responded by relating the <u>tragic story</u> of a young female physician who, as a strong advocate for the experimental COVID vaccines, completed the injections while in the second trimester of pregnancy and lost her child to miscarriage a few days later.

The AFLD founder explained how losing a pregnancy "in the second trimester is not very common," and that the typical reason this happens is that "the placenta has some kind of failure."

This can occur, she said, with pregnant mothers who become infected with COVID-19, as is documented in the AFLD's <u>white paper</u> on the topic.

"The concern with the experimental COVID vaccine is that it may mimic kind of a permanent COVID status," she explained. "So, you're taking a situation that would be time limited," only for the short duration one endures an infection with the virus, with even the sad possibility of having a miscarriage due to the virus.

"But what would be much worse," Gold said, would be a scenario where a woman "forever more cannot maintain a pregnancy" because of receiving an experimental COVID-19 vaccine. "So, instead of just the one-time episode of the illness," Gold continued, a woman may find she instead has "a lifelong episode of infertility."

Given that the <u>survival rate</u> for individuals under 50 years is 99.98% (<u>without early</u> <u>treatment</u>), Dr. Gold emphasized that "it's lunacy to get this experimental vaccine if you're a young female. It's that simple ... I would flat out forbid any young female from getting this vaccine, and I think it's very unethical for any physician to offer this to a young female."

Nuremburg Code of 1947: Informed Consent Lacking for experimental vaccine

Malkin also raised the question of the importance of informed consent in standard medical ethics as defined and universally adopted at Nuremburg in 1947.

The <u>Nuremburg Code</u> came about as a result of the post-World War II trials, convictions and executions of <u>Nazi doctors</u> who had conducted deadly experiments, without the subjects' consent, on prisoners of war.

The Code's first and most extensive principle provides the strict conditions for establishing voluntary consent, including that the individual may not be exposed to "any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion." In addition, they must have "sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved."

Referring back to the young pregnant physician who received the injections, and the importance of knowing they are experimental, Gold stated, "I don't even think she knows [the vaccine] was an experimental status only. I've had so many conversations with people that don't even realize it's investigational status, so how is that informed consent?" she asked. "They don't write it anywhere," and one has to search diligently to find the paperwork confirming the investigational status.

"So that's the beginning and end of the discussion. This is not informed consent. You don't even know it's investigational. And very important investigational meds can never be coerced by federal law," she affirmed.

'Shocking' Censorship a 'crime against humanity,' 'breathtaking in its scope'

In a AFLD <u>white paper</u>, the physicians write how the "(m)ost notable" disinformation regarding the pandemic, "was selling the lie to the American and European people that hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) is an unsafe medication."

As HCQ is "considered one of the safest medications in the world, safer than Motrin or Tylenol," it has been broadly used outside the west with great success. For example, in response to the virus, <u>India</u> recommended HCQ for its population early last year, and "continues to enjoy a death rate a fraction (~10 percent) of the USA even in the most densely populated slums."

Gold also pointed out <u>elsewhere</u> that in "Sub-Sahara Africa, the poorest places in the world, no social distancing, no mask, no ICU's, they have a death rate of one percent the western nations. One percent!"

"Now I believe it is due to widely available HCQ. I don't think you can explain it for any other reason," she said.

With Malkin she affirmed that AFLD, a volunteer physicians' organization, exists to "put out the truth that's been <u>censored</u>," on these medical topics.

"I'm stunned at the degree of censorship and the rapidity of it" in these recent months, Gold said. "It's shocking to me that we can fool people into thinking a drug that's the most commonly used drug in India (HCQ) for decades ... is unsafe."

"Honestly, I don't expect it, but this really needs to be almost [treated] like a NAZI war crime tribunal," she said. The <u>censorship</u> "has been such a crime against humanity. It's breathtaking in its scope."

Gold was also sure to encourage people to have HCQ on hand, as "it works if you take it earlier prophylactically" or as early treatment. And since "it can be very difficult to get from your own doctor," AFLD has <u>made it available at their website</u>.

How to identify propaganda: What was the data prior to the controversy?

Malkin followed-up asking how regular people can actually recognize what is true on these topics when they lack expertise.

Gold explained that she wrote a little book on that topic titled, <u>I Do Not Consent: My Fight</u> <u>Against Medical Cancel Culture</u>, in order to teach readers how to "recognize propaganda in real time."

"There's ultimately only two sources of information," she said, "if you actually know the content yourself, or you know somebody that you trust that you personally vetted."

"Now a little trick that you can use ... is [to ask] what did the science or the data say prior to the controversy? What did the data in scientific journals say about hydroxychloroquine prior to 2020? What did the data in the scientific journals say about masks prior to 2020?" Gold advised.

"One of the ways I recognized that we were living through a lie was *not* because I'm a physician," she said. "It's because I said to my physician peers, 'how could you say (HCQ) is not safe? It's been around for 65 years. It's been studied up the wazoo, it's been given billions of times in massive doses for years to old people and immune compromised people and to babies and to pregnant women. How can you say it's not safe?""

Thus, when a person said to her that they had a safety concern, "I knew that that wasn't true because of all the evidence prior to the controversy," she concluded.

Medical Journals involved in propaganda at the behest of Big Pharma

Gold said such propaganda can even come through highly credentialled medical journals, including the case of *The Lancet* which had to <u>retract</u> a <u>published study</u> whose stated findings proposed dangers to the use of HCQ as a treatment for COVID-19.

She also related a similar story regarding a <u>JAMA study</u> which she personally examined and characterized as "complete garbage," to the extent that it had "almost like shaken me to my core" that such a piece of work could be published in a credible medical journal.

Getting to the bottom of the cause of this, Gold observed "when you look into it more deeply it turns out," that "really the only advertisers" for such medical journals, "are pharmaceutical companies."

Thus, these journals must "bow" to the desires of these big pharma corporations. She made reference to a <u>video of the former health minister of France, Philippe Douste-Blazy</u>, who revealed on a French news program that he was present at a meeting where the heads of at least two of the most prestigious medical journals in the world, *The Lancet* and the *New England Journal of Medicine*, "admitted that they have to do what the pharmaceutical companies say."

Don't throw out your own common sense in favor of an expert

Gold further advised, "you should not throw out your own common sense in favor of an expert. That's not to say the expert may not be right. They may be right, but you should not automatically assume the expert is correct. You must find a source that you trust and believe. You must look for their motives."

In the case of AMFD, she said, "we're volunteers" who are not paid by the government, or by pharmaceuticals, "we're really not paid at all," and thus she implicitly suggested this is one reason to consider her organization credible.

Call to action: Sign and spread the petition

In response to projected vaccine mandates, Dr. Gold announced, "we are working on an initiative now to push back on policymakers and decision makers that want to mandate these experimental vaccines."

AFLD is not primarily concerned with the government, but with private businesses mandating these injections, particularly schools and airlines.

She encouraged everyone to "go to <u>StopMedicalDiscrimination.org</u> and please sign the petition," as it will "empower us to go to policymakers—really on your behalf—and explain that the American people, or people worldwide, don't want" these experimental vaccine or mask mandates.

"I can guarantee you we will be negotiating or discussing with policy makers, businesses, and airlines."

"So, everyone who is listening, you must sign this petition, and you must share it because the more people we can show don't want these [mandates], the better the business leaders will listen to us."

LifeSiteNews has produced an extensive COVID-19 vaccines resources page. View it here.

RELATED:

Frontline Doctors: Experimental vaccines are 'not safer' than COVID-19

YouTube bans Frontline Doctors speech criticizing 'experimental agent' COVID vaccine

Physicians: 'Masks don't control viruses, they control you,' 'pandemic is over'

Former Pfizer VP: 'No need for vaccines,' 'the pandemic is effectively over'

<u>US 'frontline' doctors' website exposes 'criminal' campaign by tech giants, govt agencies to block COVID med</u>

Why are Google, Facebook, Twitter so bent on censoring doctors who promote cure for COVID?

TOPICS

Freedom

TAGGED AS

America's Frontline Doctors Coronavirus Vaccine Hcq Hydroxychloroquine Michelle Malkin Simone Gold